


Appendix B

This report was generated on 30/12/20. Overall 16 respondents completed this questionnaire. The report has been filtered to show the responses for 'All Respondents'.

In what role are you responding to this consultation? Please select one option only.



If you indicated that you represent a school/other educational establishment, please provide your details below. (Organisation:)

Sheepy Magna C of E Primary School
 Martinshaw Primary School
 Martinshaw Primary School
 Martinshaw Primary School
 Martinshaw Primary
 Martinshaw Primary School
 Martinshaw Primary School

Are you providing your organisations official response to the consultation?

Yes (4) 40%

No (6) 60%

To what extent do you agree or disagree with our proposal to reduce the admission number for entry to Martinshaw Primary School from 45 to 30 from September 2022?

Strongly agree (12)	75%
Tend to agree (-)	0%
Neither agree nor disagree (1)	6%
Tend to disagree (1)	6%
Strongly disagree (2)	13%
Don't know (-)	0%

Why do you say this?

I believe that County and the school are probably doing what is right for them.

Reducing the PAN to 30 would give the school more financial stability without the need to recruit and fund another teacher for slightly higher numbers above 30. The school is now planning to reduce teacher numbers through redundancy because of the extra teacher recruited for 37 children in EYFS in Sept 2019. This coupled with the present (Sept 2020) EYFS numbers of 17 has had a major negative impact on finances.

The numbers have been considerably unstable over recent years and to enable the school to manage its finances it needs to stay within the 1 class entry. This will enable the school to stabilise its staff levels.

Altering the PAN to 30 will give our school more stable financial security and would prevent us having to make redundancies in the future.

Martin Shaw is the only school in the village to have a pan Allowance above 30. If they reduce to a pan of 30 Then parents and carers will have to appeal for schools outside of the village. At least having a pan of 45 it provides some sense of security that there is a higher chance parents and carers will have an allocated place within the village no matter what school.

It will significantly assist the school with budget planning.

It will improve the use of resources in the school and reduce uncertainty for staff in the future.

Because the financial implications and practicalities of keeping the PAN at 45 simply aren't sustainable within the school. Budgets are already suffering and very tight. Lowering the PAN will benefit the children and the staff morale.

Admissions have been 30 or less over the past few years so this is not needed; the roads around the school are not suitable for increasing the school size - already the roads are dangerous with cars and parking and taking on extra pupils (who are likely to come from outside of the village) will only make it worse.

The number of children in the catchment area has reduced recently.

To help the school budget effectively and avoid further redundancies.

The village is expanding all the time with new build developments. If all the spaces get filled between the 3 primary schools in Groby, we'll have to drive further away out of catchment to take our children to school. Please do not reduce it

No Comment

The increase in numbers in the new build had meant that we do not have enough money to fully staff our school. We are already understaffed with Teaching Assistants and many of our classes have to share. We are also having to make two teacher's jobs redundant next year which seems very unfair. The COVID pandemic has made this even more stressful with staff having time off to self-isolate.

No Comment

No Comment

What is your gender identity?

Male (2) 40%

Female (3) 60%

Prefer to self-describe (e.g. pangender, non-binary etc.) (-)

What was your age on your last birthday?

Under 15 (-) 0%

15-24 (-) 0%

25-44 (4) 80%

45-64 (1) 20%

65-84 (-) 0%

85 and over (-) 0%

Do you have a long-standing illness, disability or infirmity?

Yes (-)

No (5) 100%

What is your ethnic group? Please tick one box only.

White (4) 80%

Mixed (-) 0%

Asian or Asian British (1) 20%

Black or Black British (-) 0%

Other ethnic group (-) 0%

This page is intentionally left blank